# Cost and Financing of the USO: EU experiences and conclusions for the Polish postal market

Antonia Niederprüm

7<sup>th</sup> Conference "Market of Postal Services in Poland 2011" Warsaw, 21 September 2011



## Who is WIK-Consult?

WIK ('Scientific Institute for Infrastructure and Communication Services')

- Independent research institute, owned by the German government
- ~ 40 consultants/researchers
- More than 25 years of experience with economic regulation and sector policies
- Telecommunications, postal and energy markets
- WIK-Consult is a 100% subsidiary of WIK
  - Consultancy specialised in regulated industries, founded in 2001
  - ~ 60% of revenue from customers outside Germany



### **Contents of Presentation**

- Introduction
- Practical experiences in Europe
- Conclusions for the Polish postal market



#### Introduction Background

- In Poland reserved area still main source for financing the universal service obligation (USO)
- Full opening of Polish letter market foreseen in 2013 (postal legislation is still pending)
- Third Postal Directive requires full market opening and set strict rules for external funding
  - Precondition for external funding of USO in fully liberalized postal markets: Calculation of the USO net cost
- Definition of the USO net cost (Third Postal Directive, Annex I):

"The net cost of universal service obligations is to be calculated, as the difference between the net cost for a designated universal service provider of operating with the universal service obligations and the same postal service provider operating without the universal service obligations."



#### Introduction Third Postal Directive (Art. 7 and Annex 1)

Purpose	<ul> <li>Precondition for external funding</li> <li>Article 7 (3):"Where a Member State determines that the USO [] entail a net</li> </ul>
	cost, and represent an unfair financial burden on the USP []"
Services or service	<ul> <li>Elements of services provided at loss or under cost conditions falling outside normal commercial standards</li> </ul>
elements considered	<ul> <li>Specific users or groups of users served at loss or (revenues not cost- covering due to social, uniform, or affordable tariffs)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Calculation made separately per aspect (element or user group) of USO under avoidance of double counting</li> </ul>
Cost concept	Avoided cost
Reference scenario	<ul> <li>Same postal operator without USO</li> </ul>
Cost &	<ul> <li>Assessment of "net cost" effects (including a reasonable profit)</li> </ul>
revenues considered	Consideration of
	<ul> <li>benefits ("intangible and market benefits") to the USP</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>incentives for cost efficiency</li> </ul>
Methodology	<ul> <li>Shall be verified by NRA (USP shall cooperate)</li> </ul>
wik -	

ννι

CONSULT

#### Practical Experiences in Europe Overview of Surveyed Approaches

- Limited experience with calculation of USO net cost in the EU
- Following examples are presented (including non-EU countries)
  - Denmark / Copenhagen Economics commissioned by Danish Chamber of Commerce (2007)
  - France / La Poste (universal service provider)
  - Norway / Norway Post (universal service provider)
  - Switzerland / WIK-Consult/BDO commissioned by Swiss regulator PostReg



#### **Practical Experiences in Europe** Denmark / Copenhagen Economics (2007)

Purpose	<ul> <li>Inform postal policy (no legal mandate)</li> <li>Model prepared for Danish Chamber of Commerce</li> </ul>
Services or service elements considered	<ul> <li>Nationwide delivery</li> <li>6-day-delivery</li> <li>Routing time targets, etc.</li> </ul>
Cost concept	Avoided costs of the service elements
Reference scenario	<ul> <li>Alternative business model of Post Danmark (absent the USO)</li> <li>Delivery frequency down from 6 to 5 days per week</li> <li>Free services for blind discontinued</li> </ul>
Cost & revenues considered	<ul> <li>Estimated cost and revenues per service element</li> <li>Not based on accounting data</li> </ul>
Result (FY 2005)	<ul> <li>DKK 150m – approx. 1.5% of operating expenses</li> </ul>



#### Practical Experiences in Europe France / La Poste

Purpose	<ul> <li>Determination of costs of "réseau grand public" (postal outlets) resulting from La Poste's regional planning and development mission</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>La Poste benefited from an 85% reduction in local taxes (2010)</li> </ul>
Services or service elements considered	<ul> <li>Retail network (postal outlets)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Separate analysis for "postal USO" and "regional planning and development mission"</li> </ul>
Cost concept	Avoided costs
Reference scenario	<ul> <li>Retail network of a profit-maximizing company ("commercial network") with the same service portfolio (postal and financial services)</li> </ul>
Cost & revenues considered	<ul> <li>Break down into additional costs attributable to the accessibility obligation (part of USO) and additional costs attributable to regional planning and development mission</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Estimation based on cost &amp; revenue accounting data and econometric modeling</li> </ul>
Result	Not published



#### Practical Experiences in Europe Norway / Norway Post

Purpose	• Determine subsidies from state budget ("Government procurements")
•	
Services or service elements considered	<ul> <li>Frequency of delivery (by area)</li> </ul>
	Retail network
	<ul> <li>Free services to the blind</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Non-uniform tariff / surcharge to Spitsbergen (remote island)</li> </ul>
Cost concept	<ul> <li>Avoided costs of service elements</li> </ul>
Reference	<ul> <li>"Commercial business model" determined by Norway Post</li> </ul>
scenario	<ul> <li>Delivery frequency down to 5 days for 15 % of</li> </ul>
	population and down to 2 days for 5 % of population
	<ul> <li>"Mobile post offices" reduced by half</li> </ul>
	- Introduce charges for services for the blind
	<ul> <li>Surcharge for mail to and from Spitsbergen</li> </ul>
Cost & revenues	<ul> <li>Revenue effects are considered to be negligible</li> </ul>
considered	<ul> <li>Based on estimated volume and cost development of the next FY</li> </ul>
Result (2010)	<ul> <li>NOK 497m / 2.4 % of operating expenses</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Increasing trend because of declining mail volume</li> </ul>

VV

CONSULT

#### Practical Experiences in Europe Switzerland / PostReg

Purpose	<ul> <li>Legislation requires report of "infrastructure contribution"</li> </ul>
	No external funding
Services or service elements considered	<ul> <li>Retail network (postal outlets)</li> </ul>
Cost concept	Avoided costs
Reference scenario	<ul> <li>Commercial retail network: Reduced number of postal outlets from 2,313 (1,955 post offices / 358 agencies) to 1,700 (700 post offices / 1,000 agencies)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Based on an international benchmark (national postal operators) combined with a national benchmark (Swiss industries operating retail networks: food stores, petrol stations and banks)</li> </ul>
Cost & revenues	Revenue effects are not considered (assuming constant demand)
considered	<ul> <li>"infrastructure contribution" corresponds to the fixed cost of excess postal outlets operated by Swiss Post personnel</li> </ul>
	Based on historical cost data
Result (2010)	<ul> <li>~ CHF 198m / 3 % of operating expenses</li> </ul>
wikっ	

CONSULT

#### Practical Experiences in Europe Conclusions

- Consensus in recent approaches: Profitability cost approach (theoretically developed by John C. Panzar and Helmuth Crèmer)
  - Consistent with key requirements of Third Postal Directive (Annex I)
  - Calculate change in USP's profits due to relaxing the USO
  - Key question: How would the USP change service levels if the USO was relaxed?
- Most important areas for service degradations (without a USO)
  - 1. Reduced frequency of delivery
  - 2. Post office closures and conversion to contracted agencies
  - 3. Remove "social prices", e.g. free service for the blind
- Open questions:
  - How to quantify intangible and market benefits to the universal service provider?

How to determine whether calculated USO net cost are an unfair burden?

## **Conclusions for the Polish Postal Market**

- Polish postal market characterized by
  - Low mail volume per inhabitant (around 52 letters, stagnating)
  - Emerging competition in the national letter market (InPost)
  - Substantial competition in the markets for parcel & express services and the distribution of unaddressed advertising
  - Commercialisation and modernisation of Poczta Polska lagging behind
- Under current conditions external funding of the USO may be necessary after full market opening → necessity to determine the USO net cost
- Determining net costs of the USO requires the development of a reference scenario



## **Conclusions for the Polish Postal Market**

- The reference case should
  - refer to services and service elements required by the USO
  - reflect the business strategy of the universal service provider
  - be a realisable and a credible commercial alternative
  - take the demand side and further benefits into account
- The postal service provider need not necessarily discontinue services. Adapting service elements may be the more suitable solution
- Pending postal legislation offers opportunity to think about
  - Relaxed USO requirements (e.g. uniform tariffs only for single-piece items, exemptions from 5-day delivery in rural areas)
  - Regulatory measures to promote cost efficiency in universal service provision (e.g. price cap regulation, procurement of US elements)





Antonia Niederprüm WIK-Consult GmbH Postfach 2000 53588 Bad Honnef Germany Tel +49 (0) 2224-9225-29 Fax +49 (0) 2224-9225-68 eMail a.niederpruem@wik.org www. wik-consult.com